# Association between the ACE I/D Variant and COVID-19 Susceptibility and Severity; a Meta-Analysis



#### ARTICLE INFO

**Original Research** 

Nikooei Sh.<sup>1</sup> MD.

Ghasemi H.<sup>2</sup> PhD,

Vakilpour H.<sup>4</sup> *MSc,* Alipoor B.\*<sup>3</sup> *PhD* 

Zarei M.R.3 BSc,

Article Type

Authors

ABSTRACT

**Aims** Several studies investigated the association of ACE I/D polymorphism with the risk and severity of COVID-19 infection. However, the information in each of the published studies is limited, and the results were inconsistent or even contradictory. Accordingly, this meta-analysis evaluated the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and COVID-19 susceptibility and severity.

**Materials & Methods** Two investigators independently searched the PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Science Direct databases. Five studies including 1029 cases and 3561 controls were retrieved to evaluate the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and the risk of COVID-19. Furthermore, a meta-analysis of the correlation between the ACE I/D variant and the severity of infection covered 5 case-control studies, including 264 severcases and 447 mild cases.

**Findings** There was no association between the ACE I/D variant in all genetic models and COVID-19 susceptibility. However, our analysis revealed that there was a significant association between ACE I/D variant in the allele contrast (95% CI=0.5291-0.8353; p<0.001), recessive (95% CI=0.4268-0.9172; p=0.01) and dominant (95% CI=0.3974-0.8092; p=0.001) models and severity of COVID-19.

**Conclusion** ACE I/D polymorphism is associated with the severity of COVID-19 infection. There is no association between the ACE I/D variant in all genetic models and COVID-19 susceptibility.

Keywords ACE; I/D Polymorphism; COVID-19; Meta-Analysis

How to cite this article

Nikooei Sh, Ghasemi H, Zarei M R, Vakilpour H, Alipoor B. Association between the ACE I/D Variant and COVID-19 Susceptibility and Severity; a Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Care and Skills. 2022; 3(4):165-170.

<sup>1</sup>Student Research Committee, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of Basic Sciences, School of Medicine, Abadan University of Medical Sciences, Abadan, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Paramedicine, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Medicinal Plants Research Center, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran

#### \*Correspondence

Address: Department of Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Paramedicine, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran. Postal Code: 7591741417 Phone: +98 (74) 33235138 Fax: +98 (74) 33229816 behnam.alipour@yums.ac.ir

#### Article History

Received: November 7, 2022 Accepted: December 28, 2022 ePublished: December 31, 2022

#### CITATION LINKS

[1] Recent advances in ... [2] A rapid and specific assay ... [3] SARS and MERS ... [4] A novel coronavirus ... [5] A pneumonia outbreak ... [6] Understanding the renin–angiotensin ... [7] High affinity binding of ... [8] Angiotensin converting ... [9] Focus on receptors ... [10] Human Ace D/I polymorphism ... [11] Association between angiotensinogen ... [12] Impact of I/D polymorphism ... [13] ACE I/D polymorphism ... [14] Preferred reporting ... [15] MetaGenyo: A web ... [16] Clinical Features ... [17] Risks of and risk factors ... [18] Angiotensin-converting ... [19] The pathogenicity ... [20] ACE2, angiotensin-(1-7) ... [21] The role of biomarkers ... [22] Clinical and biochemical ... [23] Angiotensin-converting ... [24] ACE gene I/D polymorphism ... [25] Association between ... [26] Ethnic and age-specific ... [27] Angiotensin-converting ... [28] ACE deletion ... [29] ACE gene I/D polymorphism ...

Copyright© 2022, the Authors | Publishing Rights, ASPI. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-NonCommercial terms. Coronaviruses (CoVs) belong to the subfamily Coronavirinae and the family Coronaviridae, which are coated RNA viruses containing a non-segmented single-stranded positive-sense RNA. This family is divided into four genera including alpha, beta, delta, and, gamma CoVs <sup>[1]</sup>. CoVs have been the cause of two epidemics over the past twenty years including acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in 2003 and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) <sup>[2-4]</sup>. At the end of December 2019, the Wuhan Municipal Health Commission reported the outbreak of new viral pneumonia named a novel CoV (COVID-19) by the World Health Organization (WHO) in January 2020 <sup>[5]</sup>. According to the WHO data, more than 276 million cases of COVID-19 have been recorded worldwide, including at least 6,667,000 deaths until December 2022.

It has been reported that the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) plays an important role in the pathogenesis of COVID-19<sup>[6]</sup>. The angiotensinconverting enzyme (ACE) is the main part of the RAAS that is involved in coronavirus infection. ACE is the major receptor for viral entry of COVID-19 in humans. It has been suggested that pathogenic COVID-19 spike (S) glycoprotein binds via its receptor-binding domain (RDB) with a high affinity to its target cells through human ACE <sup>[7]</sup>. The binding and the subsequent cell entry of COVID-19 lead to reduce expression of cellular ACE [8]. Clinical studies have revealed that ACE insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism (rs4646994, rs1799752) could be associated with the ACE circulating and tissue levels and thereby, the severity of COVID-19 infection in humans <sup>[9, 10]</sup>.

ACE rs4646994 variant is characterized by the insertion (*I*) or deletion (*D*) of a 287-bp Alu repeat sequence in intron 16 of the ACE gene <sup>[8]</sup>. Several studies evaluated the association of the ACE rs4646994 variant with the risk and severity of COVID-19 infection <sup>[11-13]</sup>. However, the information in each of the published studies is limited, and the results were inconsistent or even contradictory. Accordingly, this meta-analysis aimed to investigate the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and COVID-19 susceptibility and severity.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Search strategy and selection criteria

We performed a search on PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Science Direct databases, for papers published in English up to December 2022, using a combination of keywords "insertion/deletion (I/D)", "rs4646994", "rs1799752", "ACE polymorphism", "COVID-19", and "SARS-CoV-2". Original case-control studies that reported data relevant to ACE gene I/D polymorphism and the risk and severity of COVID-19, mentioned the sufficient genotype data to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), categorized COVID-19 patients as severe and mild groups were eligible for this meta-analysis. Furthermore, studies lacking case-control evaluation of the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and risk and severity of COVID-19, genotype distribution not consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), case report studies, meta-analysis, reviews, abstract or conference papers were excluded.

# Data extraction and statistical analysis

The required data were retrieved by two independent investigators from the full-text eligible articles and the consensus was achieved by a third reviewer. Moreover, conflicting articles of interest and any disagreement were resolved by a team discussion. The following data were extracted from the selected studies: author name, year, sample size, ethnicity, and country.

The meta-analysis was performed as stated by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) [14]. All analyses were performed using the Web tool MetaGenyo, Version 12.0 [15]. MetaGenyo combines the effect sizes of the included studies by weighting the data according to the amount of information in each study. Heterogeneity between trials was evaluated using Cochrane's O statistic and the I<sup>2</sup> test and considered significant at I<sup>2</sup> >50% or p<0.1. Accordingly, in case of low heterogeneity, the fixed effects model and otherwise, the random effect model were applied to combine the studies. Forest plots were applied to summarize information for effect size and the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) of each study and the pooled effect. Sensitivity analyses were performed by removing one study at a time to evaluate the consistency of the results. Moreover, Funnel plots and Begger's and Egger's tests were used to evaluate publication bias.

# **Findings**

# Association of the ACE I/D variant and COVID-19 susceptibility

After a literature search of PubMed, Embase, and Scopus, 7 studies were retrieved to the evaluation of the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and the risk of COVID-19 infection. Among these five papers met our inclusion criteria including 1029 cases and 3561 controls. The two studies were excluded for being against the HWE. There were two studies of Iran, one of Asturias, one of Italy, and one of Czech (Table 1).

Four genetic models including allele contrast (Ivs. D), recessive (II vs. ID and DD), dominant (II+ID vs. DD), and over-dominant (ID vs. II+DD) were used to compare the genotypes and alleles. Since there was heterogeneity across the studies, the random effect model was applied to evaluate the odds ratio (I<sup>^2</sup> >50; p<0.05). Our analysis indicated that there was no association between the ACE I/D variant in the allele

## 167

contrast (95% CI=0.5252-1.1994; p=0.27), recessive (95% CI=0.2763-1.0964; p=0.08), dominant (95% CI=0.4776-1.6531; p=0.7), and over-dominant (95% CI=0.6659-1.8827; p=0.66) models and COVID-19 susceptibility (Figure 1). The funnel plot and Egger's test were applied to evaluate the publication bias of the individual studies. There was no significant publication bias according to the funnel plot and

Egger's test (p>0.05) for all the genetic models. Moreover, sensitivity analysis was performed to examine the impact of the individual data on the pooled ORs. After each study was excluded from the current meta-analysis, there was no significant shift or change in the level of significance and odds ratio. This analysis indicated our results were statistically robust.

**Table 1**) Characteristics of the investigated studies (all 2020) of the association of the rs4646994 polymorphism and risk of COVID-19 infection

Study	Country	Cases/	Case Subjects			Control Subjects			HW-p Value
		Controls	II	ID	DD	II	ID	DD	
Juan Gómez <sup>[23]</sup>	Asturias	204/536	22	107	75	85	256	195	0.94
Cecilia Calabrese <sup>[24]</sup>	Italy	68/111	5	25	38	13	50	48	0.9
Jaroslav A. Hubacek <sup>[13]</sup>	Czech	408/2579	107	210	91	547	1331	701	0.06
Mohammadarian Akbari <sup>[31]</sup>	Iran	91/91	4	70	17	21	37	33	0.10
Hamid Reza Kouhpayeh [11]	Iran	258/244	25	89	144	51	123	70	0.82

#### A) Allele contrast (I vs. D)

	Experim	ental	Co	ontrol				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-Cl	Weight
Juan Gómez	151	408	426	1072		0.89	[0.70; 1.13]	21.2%
Cecilia Calabrese	35	136	76	222		0.67	[0.41; 1.07]	17.5%
Jaroslav A. Hubacek	424	816	2425	5158		1.22	[1.05; 1.41]	22.1%
Mohammadarian Akbari	78	182	79	182		0.98	[0.65; 1.48]	18.5%
Hamid Reza Kouhpayeh	139	516	225	488		0.43	[0.33; 0.56]	20.8%
Random effects mode		2058		7122		0.79	[0.53; 1.20]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 92\%$ , $\tau$	$^{2} = 0.1953$	p < 0	0.01		1 1 1			
					0.5 1 2			

# B) Recessive model (II vs. ID and DD)

	Experim	ental	Co	ontrol				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-Cl	Weight
Juan Gómez	22	204	85	536		0.64	[0.39; 1.06]	22.4%
Cecilia Calabrese	5	68	13	111		0.60	[0.20; 1.76]	15.6%
Jaroslav A. Hubacek	107	408	547	2579		1.32	[1.04; 1.68]	24.6%
Mohammadarian Akbari	4	91	21	91		0.15	[0.05; 0.47]	15.2%
Hamid Reza Kouhpayeh	25	258	51	244		0.41	[0.24; 0.68]	22.2%
Random effects mode		1029		3561	$\sim$	0.55	[0.28; 1.10]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 87\%$ , $\tau$	$^{2} = 0.4879$	p, p < 0	0.01					
					0.1 0.5 1 2 10			

#### C) Dominant model (II+ID vs. DD)

	Experim			ontrol				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Juan Gómez	129	204	341	536		0.98	[0.70; 1.37]	21.1%
Cecilia Calabrese	30	68	63	111		0.60	[0.33; 1.11]	18.5%
Jaroslav A. Hubacek	317	408	1878	2579		1.30	[1.01; 1.67]	21.7%
Mohammadarian Akbari	74	91	58	91		- 2.48	[1.26; 4.88]	17.7%
Hamid Reza Kouhpayeh	114	258	174	244		0.32	[0.22; 0.46]	20.9%
Random effects mode		1029		3561		0.89	[0.48; 1.65]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 92\%$ , $\tau$			0.01	3201	0.5 1 2	0.89	[0.48; 1.65]	100.

#### D) Over-dominant model (ID vs. II+DD)

	Experim	ental	Co	ontrol							
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total		Odds	Ratio	D	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Juan Gómez	107	204	256	536			<u> </u>		1.21	[0.87; 1.67]	21.5%
Cecilia Calabrese	25	68	50	111			+		0.71	[0.38; 1.32]	17.6%
Jaroslav A. Hubacek	210	408	1331	2579			-		0.99	[0.81; 1.23]	22.6%
Mohammadarian Akbari	70	91	37	91					4.86	[2.56; 9.25]	17.3%
Hamid Reza Kouhpayeh	89	258	123	244					0.52	[0.36; 0.74]	21.1%
Random effects mode	I.	1029		3561		<	5		1.12	[0.67; 1.88]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 90\%$ , $\tau$	$^{2} = 0.3000$	p, p < 0	0.01		1	L.	1 1				
					0.2	0.5	1 2	5			

**Figure 1)** Forest plot of the association between the rs4646994 polymorphism and risk of COVID-19 infection. A) allele contrast (I vs. D), B) Recessive model (II vs. ID and DD), C) Dominant model (II+ID vs. DD) and D) Over-dominant model (ID vs. II+DD). Boxes illustrate the effect size for each sample in the analysis; the size of the boxes shows the weighting for each study and lines represent the .95 confidence interval for each effect size. The diamond represents the overall effect of the meta-analysis.

#### Journal of Clinical Care and Skills

#### Fall 2022, Volume 3, Issue 4

## Nikooei et al.

# Association between the ACE I/D Variant and COVID-19 Susceptibility and Severity; a Meta-Analysis168Association between the ACE I/D polymorphismthere was a significant association between the<br/>and severity of COVID-19 infectionACE I/D variant in the allele contrast (95%)

For the evaluation of the association between the ACE I/D variant and the severity of COVID-19 infection, 10 studies were retrieved. Among these, five papers met our inclusion criteria, including 264 severe and 447 mild cases. Two studies were not consistent with HWE and 3 investigations were rejected because they did not categorize patients as mild or severe subgroups. Study regions comprised Turkey, India, and Asturias (Table 2).

The association between the ACE I/D polymorphism and severity of COVID-19 infection was evaluated in four genetic models including allele contrast (I vs. D), recessive (II vs. ID and DD), dominant (II+ID vs. DD) and over-dominant (ID vs. II+DD). Based on heterogeneity, a fixed (I^2<50; p>0.05) or random (I^2>50; p<0.05) effect model was applied to evaluate the odds ratio. Our analysis revealed that there was a significant association between the ACE I/D variant in the allele contrast (95% CI=0.5291-0.8353; p<0.001), recessive (95%) CI=0.4268- 0.9172; p=0.01) and dominant (95% CI=0.3974-0.8092; p=0.001) models and severity COVID-19 susceptibility (Figure 2). We did not observe a significant association for the overdominant model (95% CI=0.4916-1.6977; p=0.77). These findings suggested that ACE- I allele is associated with an increase in COVID-19 infection severity. The funnel plot and Egger's test were applied to evaluate the publication bias of the individual studies. The results showed no publication bias in this meta-analysis except for over-dominant. Furthermore, Sensitivity analysis was performed to estimate the impact of the individual data set on the pooled ORs. There was no significant shift or change in the level of significance and odds ratio after removing each study from the current meta-analysis.

**Table 2)** Characteristics of the investigated studies (all 2020) of the association of the rs4646994 polymorphism and severity of COVID-19 infection

Study	Country	Severe/Mi	d Sevei	re Cases		Mild C	Cases		HW-p Value
		Cases	II	ID	DD	II	ID	DD	
Juan Gómez <sup>[23]</sup>	Asturias	67/137	5	31	31	17	76	44	0.17
Elifcan Aladag <sup>[10]</sup>	Turkey	12/53	0	10	2	8	22	23	0.59
Sushma Verma <sup>[12]</sup>	India	120/149	42	48	30	74	58	17	0.47
Sevim Karakaş Çelik <sup>[32]</sup>	Turkey	35/78	6	15	14	17	37	24	0.70
Ozgur Gunal <sup>[33]</sup>	Turkey	30/30	9	2	19	7	8	15	0.09

A) Allele contrast (I vs. D)

Study	Experim Events		Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Juan Gómez	41	134	110	274		0.66	[0.42; 1.02]	27.0%
Elifcan Aladag	10	24	38	106		1.28	[0.52; 3.15]	6.4%
Sushma Verma	132	240	206	298		0.55	[0.38; 0.78]	41.7%
Sevim Karakaş Çelik	27	70	71	156		0.75	[0.42; 1.34]	15.7%
Ozgur Gunal	20	60	22	60		0.86	[0.41; 1.83]	9.2%
Fixed effect mode	1	528		894		0.66	[0.53; 0.84]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 09$	$6, \tau^2 = 0, $	p = 0.4	13					

0.5

B) Recessive model (II vs. ID and DD)

	Experim	ental	Co	ontrol				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Juan Gómez	5	67	17	137	-++-	0.57	[0.20; 1.62]	13.4%
Elifcan Aladag	0	12	8	53 -		0.21	[0.01; 3.97]	1.7%
Sushma Verma	42	120	74	149		0.55	[0.33; 0.89]	60.0%
Sevim Karakas Celik	6	35	17	78		0.74	[0.26; 2.08]	13.8%
Ozgur Gunal	9	30	7	30	+	1.41	[0.45; 4.45]	11.0%
Fixed effect mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0$ ?		264		447	-	0.63	[0.43; 0.92]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $r = 0$	0, t = 0, 1	0 = 0.5	0		0.1 0.5 2 10			

C) Dominant model (II+ID vs. DD)

	Experim	ental	Co	ontrol				
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
Juan Gómez	36	67	93	137		0.55	[0.30; 1.00]	35.2%
Elifcan Aladag	10	12	30	53		3.83	[0.76; 19.22]	4.9%
Sushma Verma	90	120	132	149		0.39	[0.20; 0.74]	29.7%
Sevim Karakaş Çelik	21	35	54	78	- <u>let</u>	0.67	[0.29; 1.53]	18.4%
Ozgur Gunal	11	30	15	30		0.58	[0.21; 1.62]	11.9%
Fixed effect mode		264		447		0.57	[0.40; 0.81]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 42$	$\%, \tau^2 = 0.$	1286,	p = 0.14					
					0.1 0.5 1 2 10			

D) Over-dominant model (ID vs. II+DD)

Study	Experim Events		Co Events	ontrol Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
luan Gómez	31	67	76	137		0.69	[0.38: 1.24]	27.3%
Elifcan Aladag	10	12	22	53		- 7.05	[1.40; 35.37]	10.5%
Sushma Verma	48	120	58	149		1.05	[0.64: 1.71]	29.5%
Sevim Karakas Celik	15	35	37	78		0.83	[0.37: 1.86]	22.5%
Ozgur Gunal	2	30	8	30		0.20	[0.04; 1.02]	10.2%
Random effects mode		264		447		0.91	[0.49; 1.70]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 63\%$ , $\tau$	= 0.2760	p = 0	0.03		1 1 1 1			
					0.1 0.51 2 10			

**Figure 2)** Forest plot of the association between the rs4646994 polymorphism and severity of COVID-19 infection. A) Allele contrast (I vs. D), B) Recessive model (II vs. ID and DD), C) Dominant model (II+ID vs. DD) and D) Over-dominant model (ID vs. II+DD). Boxes illustrate the effect size for each sample in the analysis; the size of the boxes shows the weighting for each study and lines represent the .95 confidence interval for each effect size. The diamond represents the overall effect of the meta-analysis.

Journal of Clinical Care and Skills

## 169 Discussion

COVID-19 is known as the most challenging problem around the world today that is associated with a high mortality rate mainly in cases with underlying diseases such as diabetes and hypertension [16, 17]. ACE catalyzes the synthesis of Angiotensin-II (Ang-II) from Ang-I that binds to the AT1-receptor inducing vasoconstriction. fibrosis, inflammation, and. thrombosis <sup>[18, 19]</sup>. ACE act as a surface receptor of SARS-CoV-2, catalysis the conversion of AngII to angiotensin 1-t known as AngII antagonist <sup>[20]</sup>. Binding SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 is accompanied by ACE/ACE2 imbalance and ultimately led to an increase in AngII level as well as its deleterious effects, especially in lung tissue [21, 22]. Therefore, functional variants of ACE may be associated with the susceptibility and severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In the present study, ACE rs4646994 polymorphism is not associated with susceptibility to COVID-19. However, our findings suggested a significant negative association between the ACE rs4646994-I allele and the severity of COVID-19 infection. Previous studies have described that the rs4646994 D allele causes an increase in ACE/AngII levels and is therefore involved in microvascular permeability and pulmonary edema <sup>[9, 23]</sup>. In a study released by Gómez et al. the distribution of the DD genotype between COVID-19 patients and controls was not statistically significant <sup>[23]</sup>. Another study demonstrated that in patients with COVID-19, the prevalence of the DI genotype and D allele is significantly higher than that in controls <sup>[10]</sup>. In this context, it has been reported that in COVID-19 patients with pulmonary embolism, the prevalence of the DD genotype is considerably higher than in those without thromboembolic complications <sup>[24]</sup>. In contrast to the mentioned reports, Hubacek et al. presented the II genotype as a deleterious marker <sup>[13]</sup>. Few published meta-analyses evaluated the ACE variant associations with Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Inconsistent with our findings, Akihisa Matsuda et al. reported that there are no associations for any genetic model <sup>[25]</sup>. However, other studies showed a significant association of the DD rs4646994 with ARDS at least in one genetic model <sup>[26, 27]</sup>. It is necessary to mention that, these meta-analyses were performed before the COVID-19 pandemic, and evaluated the association of ACE I/D polymorphism with ARDS.

Data analysis in the present study provided a negative correlation between the rs4646994 I allele and the severity of COVID-19 infection. Several experimental studies evaluated the association between ARDS and the severity of COVID-19 infection. In this regard, it has been reported that the I allele act as a protective factor against the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection and its complications <sup>[11]</sup>. An epidemiological study in the Asian population by Pati *et al.* also showed a considerably positive correlation between the

# Nikooei *et al.*

frequency of the D allele and the frequency of COVID-19/million <sup>[28]</sup>. However, the conclusions were controversial. Accordingly, in this meta-analysis, the association between the ACE-rs4646994 polymorphism and the severity of COVID-19 infection was evaluated in four different genetic models. Our analysis revealed that there was an association between the rs4646994 variant in the allele contrast, recessive, and dominant models and COVID-19 severity. One published meta-analysis evaluated the association of the ACE I/D variant with the severity of COVID-19 infection, not disease risk <sup>[29]</sup>. In line with our findings, they showed that the DD genotype may confer an increased risk of severe COVID-19. However, our study had a distinct difference from their study. Unlike them, we excluded the Calabrese et al. [24] study from our analysis. This study did not categorize patients into severe and mild groups. Accordingly, we analyzed the data from the Aladag *et al.* <sup>[10]</sup> instead of the Calabrese *et al.* 

Considering the role of the ACE I/D polymorphism in the severity of COVID-19, identifying this polymorphism in COVID-19 patients can recognize those who are prone to the complications of COVID-19 and these patients should be given special attention in care management in the clinical environment. Also, by making these patients aware of the role of this polymorphism and vulnerability, they should be advised to follow the safety protocol against COVID-19.

Even though the researchers tried to do a welldesigned and robust meta-analysis, that there are some limitations should be acknowledged. First, only a small number of studies were relevant to this metaanalysis. Second, due to low ethnic diversity, we couldn't perform subgroup analysis. Third, we had insufficient data to conduct an association between rs4646994 polymorphism and COVID-19-related pathogen factors. Nevertheless, this study is limited in its small number of included studies and should be interpreted cautiously. Further study is still required to confirm the association in different populations.

# Conclusion

ACE I/D polymorphism is associated with the severity of COVID-19 infection. However, there is no association between the ACE I/D variant in all genetic models and COVID-19 susceptibility.

Acknowledgments: None declared.

**Ethical Permissions:** The study was approved by the Yasuj University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR.YUMS.REC.1399.004).

**Conflicts of Interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contribution: Nikooei Sh (First Author), Main Researcher/Introduction Writer/Discussion Writer (30%); Ghasemi H (Second Author), Assistant Researcher/Statistical Analyst (20%); Zarei MR (Third Author), Introduction Writer/Methodologist (10%);

#### Association between the ACE I/D Variant and COVID-19 Susceptibility and Severity; a Meta-Analysis

Vakilpour H (Forth Author), Assistant Researcher/Statistical Analyst (10%); Alipoor B (Fifth Author), Main Researcher/Statistical Analyst (30%)

**Funding/Support:** This work was financially supported by the grant No. 980172 from the Deputy of Research, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences.

# References

1- Zhang N, Wang L, Deng X, Liang R, Su M, He C, et al. Recent advances in the detection of respiratory virus infection in humans. J Med Virol. 2020;92(4):408-17.

2- Huang P, Wang H, Cao Z, Jin H, Chi H, Zhao J, et al. A rapid and specific assay for the detection of MERS-CoV. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1101.

3- De Wit E, Van Doremalen N, Falzarano D, Munster VJ. SARS and MERS: Recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14(8):523-34.

4- Jiang S, Xia S, Ying T, Lu L. A novel coronavirus (2019nCoV) causing pneumonia-associated respiratory syndrome. Cell mol Immunol. 2020;17(5):554-.

5- Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020;579(7798):270-3.

6- Ingraham NE, Barakat AG, Reilkoff R, Bezdicek T, Schacker T, Chipman JG, et al. Understanding the renin– angiotensin–aldosterone–SARS-CoV axis: a comprehensive review. Eur Respir J. 2020;56(1):2000912.

7- Lu J, Sun PD. High affinity binding of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein enhances ACE2 carboxypeptidase activity. J Biol Chem. 2020;295(52):18579-88.

8- Zheng H, Cao JJ. Angiotensin-converting enzyme gene polymorphism and severe lung injury in patients with Coronavirus disease 2019. Am J Pathol. 2020;190(10):2013-7.

9- Marshall RP, Webb S, Bellingan GJ, Montgomery HE, Chaudhari B, McAnulty RJ, et al. Angiotensin converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism is associated with susceptibility and outcome in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;166(5):646-50.

10- Aladag E, Tas Z, Ozdemir BS, Akbaba TH, Akpınar MG, Goker H, et al. Human Ace D/I polymorphism could affect the clinicobiological course of COVID-19. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst. 2021;2021:5509280.

11- Kouhpayeh HR, Tabasi F, Dehvari M, Naderi M, Bahari G, Khalili T, et al. Association between angiotensinogen (AGT), angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and angiotensin-II receptor 1 (AGTR1) polymorphisms and COVID-19 infection in the southeast of Iran: A preliminary case-control study. Transl Med Commun. 2021;6(1):26.

12- Verma S, Abbas M, Verma S, Khan FH, Raza ST, Siddiqi Z, et al. Impact of I/D polymorphism of angiotensinconverting enzyme 1 (ACE1) gene on the severity of COVID-19 patients. Infect Genet Evol. 2021;91:104801.

13- Hubacek JA, Dusek L, Majek O, Adamek V, Cervinkova T, Dlouha D, et al. ACE I/D polymorphism in Czech first-wave SARS-CoV-2-positive survivors. Clin Chim Acta. 2021;519:206-9.

14- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097. 15- Martorell-Marugan J, Toro-Dominguez D, Alarcon-Riquelme ME, Carmona-Saez P. MetaGenyo: A web tool for meta-analysis of genetic association studies. BMC Bioinformatics. 2017;18(1):563.

16- Vahedi A, Tabasi F, Monjazebi F, Hashemian SMR, Tabarsi P, Farzanegan B, et al. Clinical Features and Outcomes of ICU Patients with COVID-19 Infection in Tehran, Iran: a Single-Centered Retrospective Cohort Study. Tanaffos. 2020;19(4):300-11.

17- McGurnaghan SJ, Weir A, Bishop J, Kennedy S, Blackbourn LA, McAllister DA, et al. Risks of and risk factors for COVID-19 disease in people with diabetes: A cohort study of the total population of Scotland. The Lancet. 2021;9(2):82-93.

18- Imai Y, Kuba K, Rao S, Huan Y, Guo F, Guan B, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. Nature. 2005;436(7047):112-6.

19- Bao L, Deng W, Huang B, Gao H, Liu J, Ren L, et al. The pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 transgenic mice. Nature. 2020;583(7818):830-3.

20- Bader M. ACE2, angiotensin-(1-7), and Mas: The other side of the coin. Pflugers Arch. 2013;465(1):79-85.

21- Tikellis C, Thomas M. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is a key modulator of the renin angiotensin system in health and disease. Int J Pept. 2012;2012:256294.

22- Liu Y, Yang Y, Zhang C, Huang F, Wang F, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and biochemical indexes from 2019-nCoV infected patients linked to viral loads and lung injury. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63(3):364-74.

23- Gómez J, Albaiceta GM, García-Clemente M, López-Larrea C, Amado-Rodríguez L, Lopez-Alonso I, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzymes (ACE, ACE2) gene variants and COVID-19 outcome. Gene. 2020;762:145102. 24- Calabrese C, Annunziata A, Coppola A, Pafundi PC, Guarino S, Di Spirito V, et al. ACE gene I/D polymorphism and acute pulmonary embolism in Covid 19 pneumonia: a potential predisposing role. Front Med (Lausanne). 2020;7:631148.

25- Matsuda A, Kishi T, Jacob A, Aziz M, Wang P. Association between insertion/deletion polymorphism in angiotensinconverting enzyme gene and acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome: A meta-analysis. BMC Med Genetics. 2012;13(1):1-8.

26- Pabalan N, Tharabenjasin P, Suntornsaratoon P, Jarjanazi H, Muanprasat C. Ethnic and age-specific acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome risk associated with angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphisms, implications for COVID-19: A meta-analysis. Infect Genet Evol. 2021;88:104682.

27- Hu Z, Jin X, Kang Y, Liu C, Zhou Y, Wu X, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome among caucasians. J Int Med Res. 2010;38(2):415-22.

28- Pati A, Mahto H, Padhi S, Panda AK. ACE deletion allele is associated with susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and mortality rate: An epidemiological study in the Asian population. Clin Chim Acta. 2020;510:455-8.

29- Oscanoa TJ, Vidal X, Coto E, Romero-Ortuno R. ACE gene I/D polymorphism and severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hospitalized patients: A meta-analysis. Arterial Hypertension. 2021;25(3):112-8.