

Effect of Problem-Based Learning on Communication Skills of Undergraduate Nursing Students

ARTICLE INFO

Article Type

Original Research

Authors

Salari M.^{*1} PhD,

Zarifi A.² PhD,

Tarmizi R.A.³ PhD

How to cite this article

Salari M, Zarifi A, Tarmizi R.A. Effect of Problem-Based Learning on Communication Skills of Undergraduate Nursing Students. Journal of Clinical Care and Skills. 2021;2(1):21-27.

ABSTRACT

Aims The present study aimed to investigate the effects of Problem based Learning strategies on communication skills in pediatric nursing learning among undergraduate nursing students.

Materials & Methods This quasi-experimental study with a nonequivalent control group posttest-only design was conducted on undergraduate nursing students in Kohgiluyeh-Boyer Ahmad province. The sampling method was multistage cluster sampling. The total sample size was 95 in different classes of Traditional Problem Based Learning (TPBL=30), Hybrid Problem Based Learning (HPBL=30), and Conventional Teaching and Learning (COTL=35). The experiment was conducted over eight weeks, during which the participants met one two-hour session and two two-hour sessions each week. The three groups were compared for their communication skills at the end of the instruction. Data were collected using the Bayer-Fetzer Kalamazoo communication skill checklist and analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test.

Findings There was a statistically significant difference in the mean scores of communication skills for the three groups evaluated by the simulated patient ($p=0.001$) and the researcher ($p=0.001$). TPBL and HPBL instructional strategies enhanced students' communication skills more than COTL.

Conclusion TPBL and HPBL instructional strategies are more effective than COTL, and PBL can be useful where there are shortages of instructors or faculty members to teach PBL groups in a large classroom setting.

Keywords Problem-Based Learning; Communication; Pediatric Nursing; Learning

CITATION LINKS

[1] Use of the standardized patient to clarify ... [2] Problem-based learning in nurse education ... [3] Using solution-focused communication ... [4] The patient's role in the assessment of ... [5] Meta-cognitive strategies and nursing ... [6] Development and evaluation of a ... [7] Teaching nursing: Developing a ... [8] Problem-based learning: Future ... [9] A taxonomy of Problem-Based Learning ... [10] Problem Based Learning (PBL) ... [11] Pure PBL, Hybrid PBL and Lecturing ... [12] The challenge of Problem-Based ... [13] Problem-Based Learning: A strategic learning ... [14] Effects of problem-based learning ... [15] Constructivism: Its theoretical underpinnings ... [16] Effect of Problem-Based Learning ... [17] Influence of critical thinking ... [18] Using Problem-Based Learning ... [19] Comparison of Problem-Based ... [20] The effect of Problem-Based Learning ... [21] Nursing students' views on the ... [22] The impact of Problem-Based Learning ... [23] Opinions of an Iranian nursing faculty ... [24] Research in Education ... [25] A model for communication skills ... [26] Standardized patients versus simulated ... [27] Evaluating the use of standardized patients ... [28] Essential elements of communication ... [29] Educational research: Competencies ... [30] Statistical power analysis for ... [31] Combined administration of problem ... [32] Blended learning pedagogy designed ... [33] Role-playing in the Problem ... [34] Problem based learning- 'bringing ... [35] The development of image medi ... [36] Adding Problem-Based Learning ... [37] Comparing hybrid problem-based ... [38] Using Problem-Based Learning scenarios ... [39] Individual and group-based learning ... [40] A comparison of problem-based ... [41] Developing sustainability curricula ... [42] Medical students' perceptions of ... [43] Exploring consistency within a Problem ... [44] Problem-Based Learning and management ... [45] Improving students' learning with ...

¹Nursing Department, School of Nursing, Yasuj University of Medical Sciences, Yasuj, Iran

²English Language Department, Faculty of Humanities, Yasouj University, Yasuj, Iran

³Faculty of Educational Studies, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia

*Correspondence

Address: School of Nursing, Next to Emam Sajad Hospital, Dr. Jalil Street, Yasuj, Iran. Postal Code: 7591994799.

Phone: +98 (74) 33234115

Fax: +98(74) 33227573

salarimo@yums.ac.ir

Article History

Received: February 07, 2020

Accepted: August 20, 2020

ePublished: February 01, 2021

Introduction

Communication skills are a necessary component of nursing professionalism and essential to any clinical practice setting where there is interaction with patients and other members of professional teams [1]. Workers in the health field spend much time communicating with others, including patients and their families, visitors to the health agency, other health team members, and supervisors. Therefore, as a health care provider, a nurse needs to have a high level of communication skills. In the health care setting, the graduate nurse should have distinct communication skills to provide client-centered care and respond to health care needs so that he/she can reinforce the patient [2]. Successful communication skills are essential tools with which healthcare experts can transfer knowledge into practice, enable patients to uncover the solutions to their health challenges, and enhance students' self-assurance in taking care of patients [3]. Ineffective communication skills are often cited in cases of dispute or claims of negligence between therapist and patient. It may increase medical faults, cause anxiety, and reduce patient care quality [4].

Evidence shows that nurses lack skills in communication due to inadequate training. Besides, traditional strategies were shown to fail to enable nursing learners to develop these skills. Therefore, new strategies must be used to enhance communication, interaction, and collaboration with one another to co-construct the required information and increase their understanding of nursing concepts and their clinical practices [1, 5]. Therefore, teaching and learning need to include strategies that support interpersonal relationship and communication skills vital in nursing [6]. To develop professional nursing skills, student-centered strategies are deemed necessary to change the teaching center from the teacher and the content to the students [7]. One strategy in which the attention shifts from teacher to student is Problem Based Learning (PBL). This strategy first emerged as an instructional method in the late sixties at McMaster University's Medical School in Hamilton, Ontario. It was initiated as a pedagogical substitute for the traditional lecture-based methods [8]. There are different types of PBL [9]. Some settings use the traditional or pure PBL strategy; some others modify the strategy by incorporating the traditional methods of PBL to address the new demands placed on schools. Some research suggests a hybrid PBL approach when PBL is new to learners [10, 11]. Some institutions have successfully implemented PBL as a hybrid curriculum combined with other learning strategies like lectures, practical classes, etc. [11-13]. In this strategy, the educator, as a facilitator, strives to guide the students. This research addresses a hybrid form of PBL, integrating lecture with the traditional small-group setting.

Instead of large groups, as in conventional instruction, the PBL employs small groups to solve complicated learning problems [14]. Educators need to apply for a tutoring role in the context of small groups. In PBL, educators are full partners in the learning process and not the major holders of knowledge [15]. They struggle to enable students through this partnership to expand their communication skills, group process, and creativity, which tend to be overlooked in how the teacher uses the lecture as the main teaching strategy [16]. Also, critical thinking disposition positively correlates to a students' PBL performance [17]. Thus utilizing PBL in nursing training can prepare potential nurses to deal with the speedy changes in health care [7]. Sometimes using one tutor for each small group is not possible. Pastirik [18], in her study on using traditional problem-based learning in a large classroom, challenged the implementation of this method in conventional course-based curricula due to the lack of additional faculty tutors to facilitate and monitor small group process. However, it has been shown that large group PBL can be managed by one floating facilitator amongst several small groups. According to Pastirik, PBL in large groups appears to be a positive experience, and use can be made of PBL in a large class with one faculty tutor as a feasible alternative to the traditional small group format.

It has been more than 40 years that PBL as a student-centered strategy has been substituted for traditional ones, and there exists empirical research evidence in support of problem-based learning [19, 20]. Nevertheless, the findings of some studies and meta-analyses have revealed several gaps in the PBL literature. For instance, the results were mixed, and the students' performance on basic science examinations were sometimes lower [14, 21]. Recent literature also indicates that little investigation has been conducted on the applications of PBL in the educational system of Iran [19, 22]. In particular, no research has ever compared the application of TPBL and HPBL strategies in Pediatric Nursing education. It should also be pointed out that there appear to be some barriers to the administration of the PBL strategy in Iran, namely, crowded classes and the lack of staff with sufficient skills and experience in PBL [23]. However, as mentioned above, most nursing schools in Iran have not been able to do a complete curriculum change, chiefly because of inadequate evidence that shows the advantages of the PBL methods in Iran. Therefore, an experimental study comparing the impact of PBL variations with one facilitator or floating tutor against the traditional lecture method in the nursing field seems necessary.

To this end, the present study was carried out to investigate the effects of Transdisciplinary Problem Based Learning (TPBL), Hybrid Problem Based Learning (HPBL), and Conventional Teaching and Learning (COTL) instructional strategies on

Materials and Methods

This quasi-experimental study with nonequivalent control group posttest-only design was conducted on junior nursing students of Islamic Azad University (IAU) in Kohgiluyeh-Boyer Ahmad province who took Pediatric Nursing II at the time of the research in 2017. The sampling method was multistage cluster sampling; so that among the five branches of Islamic Azad Universities in Kohgiluyeh-Boyer Ahmad, only the cities of Yasuj and Gachsaran and consequently two classes were selected. The students had similar socio-economic, ethnic background characteristics, and also common abilities. It should be noted that the nursing faculties under study followed the same curriculum and syllabus as the other nursing faculties in Iran. So, three intact classes were selected and randomly assigned to three study groups: PPBL=30, HPBL=30, and COTL=35. Since all the participants had already passed the Pediatric Nursing (PN) I as a prerequisite course, their PN (I) scores were compared to ensure that they had similar prior PN knowledge. The sample size (N=95) seemed to be adequate for this experimental research based on McMillan and Schumacher [24], which suggest the requirement of at least 15 subjects per group in experimental research.

The Communication Skills Checklist (CSC) was used to measure students' general interpersonal relationships in the face of simulated or standardized patients (SiPs or SP). Recently, patients, SiPs, or SP have adopted assessors' role in educational processes [25]. Simulated Patients (SiPs) are real actors who serve to portray patients' history and play a role within the simulation. They can be reproduced for multiple participants and replicated with different actors to simulate a nurse clinical encounter. Some researchers report SiP and SPs as alike [26]. In this research, the simulation appeared to be more compatible with simulated patients due to the type of preparation, development, and delivery. In this study, SiP was a trained non-physician person who took on a role and portrayed patients in a standardized and constant style [26]. She was a retired nurse who had worked for many years and owned much experience in this field.

It should be noted that she was trained by the researcher for 3 hours before the interview to prepare the SiPs case. All students interviewed the SiP for 10 to 15 minutes, and this time length proved to be enough for each student to assess their communication skills and feedback [27]. In medical education, SiP encounters have applications for assessing communication skills in various nursing education programs for formative and summative education experience [1].

The CSC was adopted from the Bayer-Fetzer Kalamazoo consensus group [28] to measure the students' competency and sub-competency in communication skills as a baseline. The checklist identifies seven broadly supported essential communication competencies and it can be applied to most medical encounters. Also, it can be adaptable across different medical specializations and health settings [25]. The checklist consisted of 28 items on a five-point Likert scale that evaluated students' ability based on seven main competencies: building relationships, opening the discussion, gathering information, understanding the patient's perspective, sharing information, reaching an agreement, and providing closure after the interaction. In this checklist, the scales ranged from "poor" (scale 1) to "excellent" (scale 5). Scoring for total competency of communication skills ranged from 28 to 140. The researchers also specified students' abilities into seven main competencies and twenty-eight sub-competencies. Since the instrument was in English, it was translated into Persian. It should also be mentioned that possible threats to the instruments' internal and external validity were considered and controlled.

A critique panel of six Iranian nursing experts checked the instrument for content validity. The result of CVR (0.99) indicated that the questions are valid and appropriate for Pediatric Nursing students. The inter-judge reliability was used to gain the reliability of the checklist in the pilot study. In this study, one researcher and SiP were independent observers to judge the communication of the students. Estimating interrater reliability based on the intra-class correlation coefficients was 0.86 and significant ($p < 0.05$).

Interventions in the study were carried out over two months (8 weeks) for different groups as follows:

The traditional problem-based learning (TPBL) strategy involved using traditional or full PBL in a small-group setting without any lectures. It began by presenting a problem in the form of a trigger to the students with the tutor. They learned through active participation in small groups in a large classroom where the Pediatric Nursing course problems were facilitated and managed by a floating tutor amongst several small groups. The floating facilitator allotted 5-10 minutes to each small group in each cycle combined with intermittent large group discussions during the PBL process.

The HPBL Strategy involved a combination of TPBL with other learning strategies such as lectures [13]. Armstrong [12] believes that hybrid strategies usually encourage strength and adaptability power. The instruction included general subjects such as biological development and child assessment by highlighting differences between children and adults, providing an example of topic dysfunction

constructively presented through mini-lectures, and specific subjects or diseases presented by the TPBL strategy. Through mini-lectures, the lecturer stimulated prior knowledge to be linked with new information. Also, at the end of each HPBL lesson, a short feedback session and a summarization of 15 minutes were provided to the students.

In the COTL instruction, all the Pediatric nursing course topics were presented to the whole class through lectures without any small group discussion. As part of the study's preparation, a general briefing session about the strategy was held, and then the training sessions on TPBL and HPBL strategies were performed for the two experimental groups. All groups had similar conditions in terms of the content materials and contact hours. Altogether, four main topics were covered during 12 two-hour sessions. The day after the experiment, the researcher and the simulated patient filled out the CSC to evaluate the students' communication skills when interviewing with the simulated patient in the pediatric ward's treatment room. 10 to 15 minutes interview with SiPs were enough for each student to assess the communication skills and feedback. To ensure the accuracy of the data, the interview process was recorded and reviewed.

SPSS 17 software was used to analyze the collected data. In this study, the independent variables were TPBL, HPBL, and COTL instructional strategies, and the dependent variable was communication skills. After conducting exploratory data analysis and running Shapiro-Wilk and Leven's test to assess the normality and homogeneity of variances of the data, one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test was employed for data analysis. This study's significant or alpha level was set at 0.05 because this level is acceptable for most educational research [29].

Findings

Most students (84.2%) were in the age group 21-23 years, and the rest (15.8%) were over 23 years of age. There was no significant difference between Pediatric Nursing I's mean scores in the TPBL, HPBL, and COTL groups (Table 1).

Table 1) Comparing the mean scores of Pediatric Nursing I before the intervention

Groups	N	Mean±SD	F	df	P
TPBL	30	13.48±1.76	0.34	2.92	0.71
HPBL	30	13.79±1.88			
COTL	35	13.45±1.66			

After the intervention, there was a statistically significant difference between the mean scores of communication skills evaluated by the simulated patient for TPBL, HPBL, and COTL groups with an effect size of 0.30. In comparing two groups, the mean score of communication skills evaluated by the simulated patient for the COTL group was significantly lower than those of the TPBL and HPBL

groups ($p < 0.05$). The TPBL group did not differ significantly from the HPBL group ($p > 0.05$; Table 2). A statistically significant difference was observed between the mean scores of communication skills evaluated by the facilitator for TPBL, HPBL, and COTL groups after the intervention. The effect size, calculated 0.18 using eta squared. In comparing two groups, the mean score of communication skills for the COTL group was significantly lower than those of the TPBL and HPBL groups ($p < 0.05$). The TPBL group did not differ significantly from the HPBL group ($p > 0.05$; Table 2).

Table 2) Comparing the mean scores of communication skills evaluated by different raters

Groups	N	Mean±SD	F	df	P
Simulated patient					
TPBL	30	2.99±0.30	20.09	2.92	0.001
HPBL	30	2.98±0.30			
COTL	35	2.60±0.26			
Facilitator					
TPBL	30	2.93±0.17	10.29	2.92	0.001
HPBL	30	2.85±0.38			
COTL	35	2.63±0.23			

Discussion

This experiment's main purpose was to investigate the effectiveness of the use of PBL strategies in teaching and learning the organ dysfunction topics in Pediatric Nursing course on communication skills. Reviews of recent literature indicate that little investigation has been conducted on the applications of PBL in the educational system of Iran. More specifically, no study has ever dealt with comparing the application of TPBL and HPBL strategies in Pediatric Nursing education.

An important finding was that PBL strategies were superior to the COTL strategy in enhancing communication skills. The eta squared indices ranged from 0.18 to 0.30, which indicates a large effect based on Cohen [30], implying that the PBL strategies can effectively improve interpersonal skills. This finding agrees with Jia's findings, who assessed the effectiveness of the combined administration of problem-based learning and lecture-based learning teaching models in Chinese medical education [31]. This study also supports Shorey *et al.*, who examined the effectiveness of blended learning pedagogy in a redesigned communication module among nursing undergraduates. Having employed problem-based activities, nursing students were stimulated to believe in the importance of effective communication skills [32].

Other studies mentioned in the literature also proved that PBL curricula could improve learners' communication skills in group work [19, 33]. As it was hypothesized, the PBL strategies had a more noticeable impact on the students' communication skills than the conventional instructional strategy. This is perhaps for the facilitator's constructive role

in PBL strategy and the fact that the PBL sessions offer an environment where the students are inspired by others and strengthen their interpersonal skills [34], which are critical for their future careers. This finding backs up the study conducted by Shorey *et al.*, who found that peer feedback during PBL encouraged students to take constructive feedback and create an authentic learning environment and effective communication module [32]. The PBL strategy appears to develop students' communication skills to promote better learning [35], encourage the use of communication skills as a life-long process [36], increase clinical skills like communication [37], enable the students to work together to solve problems, handle clients' conditions in hospital [33], prepare nursing students to address incivility [38], and distribute cognitive load among the members of the group and focus on the construction of schemata [39].

Finally, the present study revealed that PBL strategies effectively drive students to cooperate, especially in small groups, to develop communication skills. This could result in more satisfaction with learning in the PBL strategy [40]. This is also supported by Du *et al.* [41], who found a significant increase in communication skills within teamwork. In their study, the students asserted that the most important skills they gained in PBL were a spirit of teamwork and the skills of communication and collaboration. Similarly, Sahu *et al.* [42] showed that PBL is an effective small group teaching method for medical students to enhance their basic communication skills. Both the TPBL and HPBL strategies created impressive results in students' communication skills.

Nevertheless, assisting learners to elucidate their preconceptions may ease a more complicated view of consistency in PBL-based programs and may reduce early stress and anxiety [43]. Furthermore, as Carriger [44] suggested, the blended approach can facilitate both knowledge attainment and knowledge application. Also, summarization as a method can be an effective learning strategy for students who are already skilled at summarizing, and it can improve students' learning, understanding, and retention of course content [45].

Despite the contribution that this study might have for teaching, it suffers from some limitations. Firstly, although most of the students benefited from PBL strategies, the results can be generalized only to courses of similar contents and levels. Secondly, since the researcher could not change the usual planning of the universities to randomize the assignment of the subjects to different groups, the study included only intact classes of third-year nursing students in a bachelorette program in two Universities of Islamic Azad University in Iran who had nursing students that had enrolled in Pediatric Nursing course at the same time. However, the prior performance test results indicated that the experimental (TPBL and

HPBL) and COTL groups were homogenous. Therefore, it should be mentioned that the findings of the study can only be generalized to a similar population and not to the others.

As it was already mentioned, in this research, the simulation patient was used for the evaluation of communication skills; therefore, other combined teaching methods that offer the use of simulation in conjunction with PBL may provide additional insight into the possible effects that strategic use of the mixed methods might have on learning and knowledge transform. Besides, future studies on PBL strategies could involve nursing students and graduated and registered nurses taking part in retraining programs.

Conclusion

In this study, the researchers described a PBL method used in a large classroom setting to instruct a Pediatric Nursing course without additional facilitators. Overall, PBL instructional strategies proved to be able to develop better interpersonal communication skills than COTL strategies. Since interpersonal and communicational skills are vital in the nursing profession, and there is no specific course to teach these skills to the students majoring in nursing, the PBL strategy can be an important vehicle to foster these skills. So, it is suggested that it be included in the education program for nursing students.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank all the accessible nursing students and personnel of the pediatric ward in Imam Sajjad Hospital for their time and participation in this study. Thanks also are due to Gachsaran and Yasuj Islamic Azad Universities' chancellors for permitting the researchers to conduct the study.

Ethical Permissions: Ethical approval for this study was granted by the Deputy Chancellors of Yasuj University of Medical Sciences with reference number 5/3473.

Conflicts of Interests: None declared by Authors.

Authors' Contribution: Salari M. (First author), Introduction author/Original researcher/Statistical analyst/Discussion author (40%); Zarifi A. (Second author), Introduction author/Methodologist/Discussion author (30%); Tarmizi R.A. (Third author), Methodologist/Assistant (30%)

Funding/Sources: None declared.

References

- 1- Barnett GV, Hollister L, Hall S. Use of the standardized patient to clarify interdisciplinary team roles. *Clin Simul Nurs.* 2011;7(5):e169-e73.
- 2- Creedy D, Horsfall J, Hand B. Problem-based learning in nurse education: An Australian view. *J Adv Nurs.* 1992;17(6):727-33.
- 3- Smith S, Adam D, Kirkpatrick P, McRobie G. Using solution-focused communication to support patients. *Nurs Stand.* 2011;25(52):42-7.
- 4- Davies CS, Lunn K. The patient's role in the assessment of students' communication skills. *Nurse Educ Today.*

2009;29(4):405-12.

5- Salari M, Tarmizi RA, Hamzah R, Hambali Z. Meta-cognitive strategies and nursing students' achievement. *J Educ Vocat Res*. 2013;4(6):169-73.

6- Lau Y, Wang W. Development and evaluation of a learner-centered training course on communication skills for baccalaureate nursing students. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2013;33(12):1617-23.

7- Young LE, Patterson BL. Teaching nursing: Developing a student-centered learning environment. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007.

8- Dolmans DHJM, De Grave W, Wolfhagen IHAP, van der Vleuten CPM. Problem-based learning: Future challenges for educational practice and research. *Med Educ*. 2005;39(7):732-41.

9- Barrows HS. A taxonomy of Problem-Based Learning methods. *Med Educ*. 1986;20(6):481-6.

10- Borhan MT. Problem Based Learning (PBL) in Malaysian higher education: A review of research on learners' experience and issues of implementations. *ASEAN J Engin Educ*. 2012;1(1):48-53.

Review-of-Research.pdf

11- Salari M, Roozbehi A, Zarifi A, Tarmizi RA. Pure PBL, Hybrid PBL and Lecturing: Which one is more effective in developing cognitive skills of undergraduate students in pediatric nursing course?. *BMC Med Educ*. 2018;18:195.

12- Boud D, Feletti G, editors. The challenge of Problem-Based Learning. Unknown City: Psychology Press; 1998. p. 137-50.

13- Gwee MCE. Problem-Based Learning: A strategic learning system design for the education of healthcare professionals in the 21st century. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*. 2009;25(5):231-9.

14- Choi E, Lindquist R, Song Y. Effects of problem-based learning vs. traditional lecture on Korean nursing students' critical thinking, problem-solving, and self-directed learning. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2014;34(1):52-6.

15- Kaya Y. Constructivism: Its theoretical underpinnings, variations, and implications for classroom instruction. *Educ Horiz*. 2008;86(3):161-72.

16- Liu L, Du X, Zhang Z, Zhou J. Effect of Problem-Based Learning in pharmacology education: A meta-analysis. *Stud Educ Eval*. 2019;60:43-58.

17- Pu D, Ni J, Song D, Zhang W, Wang Y, Wu L, et al. Influence of critical thinking disposition on the learning efficiency of Problem-Based Learning in undergraduate medical students. *BMC Med Educ*. 2019;19:1.

18- Pastirik PJ. Using Problem-Based Learning in a large classroom. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2006;6(5):261-7.

19- Sangestani G, Khatiban M. Comparison of Problem-Based Learning and lecture-based learning in midwifery. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2013;33(8):791-5.

20- Shin IS, Kim JH. The effect of Problem-Based Learning in nursing education: A meta-analysis. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2013;18(5):1103-20.

21- Yuan HB, Williams BA, Yin L, Liu M, Fang JB, Pang D. Nursing students' views on the effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2011;31(6):577-81.

22- Hassanpour Dehkordi A, Heydarnejad MS. The impact of Problem-Based Learning and lecturing on the behavior and attitudes of Iranian nursing students, A randomised controlled trial. *Dan Med Bull*. 2008;55(4):224-6.

23- Vahidi RG, Azamian A, Valizadeh S. Opinions of an Iranian nursing faculty on barriers to implementing Problem-Based Learning. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2007;13(1):193-6.

24- McMillan J, Schumacher S. Research in Education. 6th Edition. Boston: Pearson Education; 2006.

25- Rider EA, Hinrichs MM, Lown BA. A model for communication skills assessment across the undergraduate curriculum. *Med Teach*. 2006;28(5):e127-34.

26- Churchouse C, McCafferty C. Standardized patients versus simulated patients: Is there a difference?. *Clin Simul Nurs*. 2012;8(8):e363-e5.

27- Robinson-Smith G, Bradley PK, Meakim C. Evaluating the use of standardized patients in undergraduate psychiatric nursing experiences. *Clin Simul Nurs*. 2009;5(6):e203-11.

28- Makoul G. Essential elements of communication in medical encounters: The Kalamazoo consensus statement. *Acad Med*. 2001;76(4):390-3.

29- Gay LR, Mills GE, Airasian PW. Educational research: Competencies for analysis and applications. 8th Edition. Upper Saddle River: Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall; 2006.

30- Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.

31- Jia X, Zeng W, Zhang Q. Combined administration of problem- and lecture-based learning teaching models in medical education in China: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97(43):e11366.

32- Shorey S, Kowitlawakul Y, Devi MK, Chen HC, Soong SKA, Ang E. Blended learning pedagogy designed for communication module among undergraduate nursing students: A quasi-experimental study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018;61:120-6.

33- Chan ZCY. Role-playing in the Problem-Based Learning class. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2012;12(1):21-7.

34- Vittrup AC, Davey A. Problem based learning- 'bringing everything together' - a strategy for graduate nurse programs. *Nurse Educ Pract*. 2010;10(2):88-95.

35- Herpratiwi NV, Darsono AA. The development of image media based on Problem Based Learning to grow communication skills on second grade of elementary school. *J Educ Pract*. 2020;11(3):52-7.

36- Katsuragi H. Adding Problem-Based Learning tutorials to a traditional lecture-based curriculum: A pilot study in a dental school. *Odontology*. 2005;93(1):80-5.

37- Liu CX, Ouyang WW, Wang XW, Chen D, Jiang ZL. Comparing hybrid problem-based and lecture learning (PBL + LBL) with LBL pedagogy on clinical curriculum learning for medical students in China: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020;99(16):e19687.

38- Clark CM, Ahten SM, Macy R. Using Problem-Based Learning scenarios to prepare nursing students to address incivility. *Clin Simul Nurs*. 2013;9(3):e75-83.

39- Kirschner F, Paas F, Kirschner PA. Individual and group-based learning from complex cognitive tasks: Effects on retention and transfer efficiency. *Comput Human Behav*. 2009;25(2):306-14.

40- Rideout E, England V, Brown B, Fothergill-Bourbonnais F, Ingram C, Benson G, et al. A comparison of problem-based and conventional curricula in nursing education. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2002;7(1):3-17.

41- Du X, Su L, Jingling L. Developing sustainability curricula using the PBL method in a Chinese context. *J Clean Prod*. 2013;61:80-8.

42- Sahu PK, Nayak S, Rodrigues V. Medical students' perceptions of small group teaching effectiveness in hybrid curriculum. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2018;7:30.

- 43- Landeen J, Jewiss T, Vajoczki S, Vine M. Exploring consistency within a Problem-Based Learning context: Perceptions of students and faculty. *Nurse Educ Pract.* 2013;13(4):277-82.
- 44- Carriger MS. Problem-Based Learning and management development—Empirical and theoretical considerations. *Int J Manag Educ.* 2015;13(3):249-59.
- 45- Dunlosky J, Rawson KA, Marsh EJ, Nathan MJ, Willingham DT. Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychol Sci Public Interest.* 2013;14(1):4-58.